A FREE-ROTOR EFFECT IN β , γ -UNSATURATED KETONE PHOTOCHEMISTRY. THE DIVERGING SINGLET AND TRIPLET REACTIONS OF 3-ETHYLIDENE-2,2,5,5-TETRAMETHYLCYCLOHEXANONE

Kenneth G. Hancock¹ and Ronald O. Grider²

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis

Davis, California 95616

(Received in USA 24 January 1972; received in UK for publication 28 February 1972)

We recently reported the singlet-state photochemical rearrangement of 3-methylene-2,2,5,5tetramethylcyclohexanone $(\underline{1})$ via a 1,3-sigmatropic acyl shift to 3,3-dimethyl-5-isopropylidenecyclohexanone $(\underline{2})$.^{3,4} However, 17 β -acetoxy-4,4-dimethyl-19-norandrost-5-en-3-one $(\underline{3})$ is known to undergo a triplet-state 1,2-acyl shift to the cyclopropylketone steroid (4).⁵ As a partial



explanation for the differing reactivities of 1 and 3, despite their essentially isosteric β , y-unsaturated ketone chromophores, we suggested that the lowest triplet state of 1 was only apparently unreactive, dissipating its energy in an undetected <u>cis-trans</u> isomerization. Such a characteristic Π - Π * process would have been consistent with our finding that the phosphorescent triplet of 1 at 77°K was of the Π - Π * configuration (mean lifetime 0.21 sec⁶).

Since our communication, preliminary support of our contention was provided by the fact that although no discernible reaction of 1 could be photosensitized, addition of 1 did indeed quench both the phosphorescence of acetophenone in ethanol glass and the photoreduction of acetophenone in isopropyl alcohol at 3500 Å. Accordingly, we chose to examine the photoreactivity of 3-ethylidene-2,2,5,5-tetramethylcyclohexanone (5). Synthesis by Wittig reaction of 2,2,5,5tetramethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione with 1.4 equivalents of ethylidenetriphenylphosphorane in dimethylsulfoxide at 50°C gave a 60% yield of 5 as a 85:15 mixture of the two geometric isomers 5a and 5b.



The major isomer 5a was determined to be the one in which the allylic methyl was syn to the C₂ geminal dimethyls on the basis of its 100-MHz mmr spectrum (CCl₄): $\delta 0.92$ (6H, singlet, 5,5-dimethyl), 1.26 (6H, singlet, 2,2-dimethyl), 1.70 (3H_a, doublet of triplets, $J_{ab} = 7Hz$, $J_{ac} = 1Hz$, allylic methyl), 2.04 (2H_c, broad singlet, allylic methylene), 2.13 (2H, singlet, -CH₂-C=O), 5.26 (1H_b, quartet, $J_{ab} = 7Hz$, vinyl). The anti arrangement of the allylic and C₂ geminal methyls in the minor isomer 5b is also based on the 100-MHz mmr spectrum (CCl₄): $\delta 0.89$ (6H, singlet, 5,5-dimethyl), 1.14 (6H, singlet, 2,2-dimethyl), 1.58 (3H_a, doublet, $J_{ab} = 7Hz$, allylic methyl), 2.18 (2H, singlet, -CH₂-C=O), 2.27 (2H, broad singlet, allylic methylene), 5.41 (1H_b, quartet, $J_{ab} = 7Hz$, vinyl). These assignments are consistent with previously noted deshielding by proximal methyls⁷ and with more pronounced homoallylic coupling in transoid arrangements.⁸

Irradiation through a corex filter of a 0.1 M decxygenated solution of the <u>5ab</u> mixture⁹ in benzene resulted in the formation of a single photoproduct <u>6</u> in 30% yield, which could be isolated by evaporation of solvent at reduced pressure and preparative gas chromatography on a 3-ft. by 1/4-in. HDS (10% on Chromosorb P) column at 65°. The identity of <u>6</u> was established as 3-isopropylidene-2,5,5-trimethylcyclohexanone by ozonolysis to acetone and 2,5,5-trimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione, and by its 60-MHz mmr spectrum (CCl₄): δ 0.78 and 1.08 (two 3H singlets, C₅ methyls), 1.14 (3H_a doublet, J_{ab} = 7Hz, C₂ methyl), 1.68 and 1.72 (two 3H singlets, allylic methyls), 2.24 (4H multiplet, -CH₂-C=0 and -CH₂-C=C-), 3.27 (1H_b quartet, J_{ab} = 7Hz, C₂ methine).



The quantum yield for the conversion of 5 to 6 is 0.20.¹⁰ As is generally the case for photochemical 1,3-acyl shifts of β ,y-unsaturated ketones, the transformation is reversible, with 68% of 5 and 32% of 6 present at a photostationary state. The predominance of 5 in the steady-state mixture may simply reflect the higher n-M* molar absorptivity of 6 (113 at λ_{max} 299 nm for 6, vs. 61 at λ_{max} 298 nm¹⁹ for 5ab) since quantum efficiency is about the same in either direction. Thus, the singlet-state photochemistry of 5 closely parallels the behavior of the methylene analog 1.

However, in contrast to the deceptive apparent inertness of the triplet state of 1, photosensitization of a 5ab mixture at 3500 Å produces a discernible reaction. The reaction observed is not, however, the 1,2-acyl shift commonly observed in cyclic β ,y-unsaturated ketones such as 3, but rather cis-trans isomerization of 5a to 5b. Ketone sensitizers with triplet energies in



the range of 74 (xanthone, acetophenone) to 65 kcal/mole (10-thioxanthone) were all effective. That the triplet reaction product from photosensitization of 5a was indeed 5b, and vice versa, was confirmed by quantitative (<u>ca</u>. 95%) ozonolysis of the product mixture to acetaldehyde and 2,2,5,5-tetramethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione. A Π - Π * configuration is probable for the reactive triplet states of 5a and 5b in the room-temperature geometrical isomerization, but not certain. At 77°K, however, a broad phosphorescence spectrum (both ethanol and isopentane glasses) was recorded, with an approximate (0-0 band) triplet energy of 69±2 kcal/mole and mean lifetime of 0.16 sec, clearly indicative of a Π - Π * triplet state.

Thus, our findings clearly demonstrate that two virtually isosteric β , γ -unsaturated ketones such as 3 and 5 differ in their photochemical reactivity largely because of the presence (in 3) or lack (in 5) of a steric constraint to geometric isomerization. When no such restraint is present, the olefinic molety is capable of acting as a "free rotor," thereby dissipating triplet energy. When free rotation is impaired, the cyclopropylketone-producing 1,2-acyl shift may be a triplet reaction pathway of last resort. Since 3 and 5 both have triplet states accessible by singlet n-II* excitation and subsequent intersystem crossing, the reactivity differences cannot de due solely to the singlet-state partitioning ratio of α -cleavage to intersystem crossing, although this may be a contributing factor.^{14,15} Further studies are in progress to assess the degree of steric restraint that must be applied to eliminate the free-rotor effect.¹⁶

Acknowledgement. Financial support from the University of California Committee on Research, the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society (Grants 1409-Gl and 5860-AC3) and the Research Corporation is gratefully acknowledged. Additionally, K. G. H. expresses appreciation for a Faculty Research Fellowship during the summer, 1971.

References

- 1. Author to whom inquiries should be addressed.
- 2. Petroleum Research Fund Predoctoral Fellow, 1971.
- 3. K. G. Hancock and R. O. Grider, <u>Tetrahedron</u> Letters, 4281 (1971).
- For leading references, see R. S. Givens, W. F. Oettle, R. L. Coffin and R. G. Carlson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>93</u>, 3957 (1971).
- 5. K. Kojima, K. Sakai, and K. Tanabe, Tetrahedron Letters, 1925 (1969).
- 6. A more precise value than the lifetime reported earlier.³
- 7. J. C. Nouls, G. Van Binst, and R. H. Martin, Tetrahedron Letters, 4065 (1967).
- 8. L. M. Jackman and S. Sternhell, "Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry," second edition, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1969, p. 316 ff.
- 9. Although distinct nmr signals could be discerned and assigned unequivocally for the two isomers, the mixture proved physically unresolvable in our hands, even on a 500-ft. by 0.03-in. i.d. stainless steel capillary column coated with a 20:1 SF96(50): Igepal mixture.
- The potassium ferrioxalate actinometer of Hatchard and Parker¹¹ was employed, using uranyl oxalate actinometry¹² for standardization.
- 11. C. G. Hatchard and C. A Parker, Proc. Roy. Soc., 253A, 518 (1956).
- 12. W. G. Leighton and G. S. Forbes, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 52, 3139 (1930).
- 13. This is the molar absorptivity of the 85:15 5a:5b mixture used in the irradiations. Individual ε values are <u>ca</u>. 54 for 5a (298 nm) and 103 for 5b (298 nm).
- 14. J. R. Williams and G. M. Sarkisian, Chem. Commun., 1564 (1971).
- 15. The configuration of the triplet state is also not the source of the difference: K. G. Hancock and R. O. Grider, unpublished results.
- 16. Potential structural limitations to the free-rotor effect have also been recognized by Z. Goldschmidt and A. S. Kende, <u>Tetrahedron Letters</u>, 4625 (1971), in the sensitized di-pimethane rearrangement of 2-methylene-6,7-benzobicyclo[3.2.2]nona-3,6,8-triene; however, the uncertain extent of involvement of that exocyclic 2-methylene in the di-pi-methane reaction obscures its relationship to a β,γ-unsaturated ketone in which an exocyclic methylene is an integral part of the chromophore.